

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Amended Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for a Reasonableness Review and Authorization to Transfer Certain Balances to the Company's Rewards and Penalties Balancing Account for

Application 00-07-022
(Amended filed May 23, 2002)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REGARDING CLARIFICATION OF TESTIMONY**

This ruling directs San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) to clarify and resolve discrepancies in the Attachments to the prepared testimony filed with the original and amended application. I find that the testimony and attachments as presently filed do not appear to be consistent with the decisions cited in the attachments. SDG&E must clarify, correct or by some other appropriate means explain its position more completely.

In the last paragraph, Attachment A, page A-3, reads as follows: "In PTR Decision (D.) 00-06-034, the Commission approved SDG&E's request to eliminate it (the Electric Vehicle Adjustment Clause) upon completion of the necessary reasonableness review." Substantially similar language is in Attachments B, C, and D. Finding of Fact 63 in D.00-06-034 states: "SDG&E's proposals to eliminate various accounts after the rate freeze should be adopted. SDG&E's ratemaking proposals, described in Exhibit 11, Chapter IV, that are consistent with this decision should be adopted."

Exhibit 11, at page IV-4 lists eight specific items proposed by SDG&E for elimination. That list did not include the accounts described in Attachments A, B, C and D in this application. This discrepancy must be resolved.

Therefore **IT IS RULED** that:

1. San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) shall file and serve all necessary additions, or corrections, to its previously served prepared testimony, work papers or other attachments to resolve the discrepancy concerning the asserted authority granted by Decision 00-06-034.

2. SDG&E shall file and serve its response to this Ruling no later than March 28, 2003.

Dated March 3, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Douglas M. Long

Douglas M. Long
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Regarding Clarification of Testimony on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated March 3, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Antonina V. Swansen
Antonina V. Swansen

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.